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## Macro-level:

1. Giant component
2. Small-world
3. Degree distribution
4. Clustering
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## 1. Giant component

- Intuitive example- world acquaintance network
- Questions
- Is it connected?
- How many giant components are there?



## Examples

- Actor network
- Edge between two actors iff they appear together in a movie
- $98 \%$ of 449,913 actors belong to the giant component (IMDB, May 2000)
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## More examples

- Instant messaging
- Microsoft IM: one giant component in a network of 240 million users (2008)
- Co-author network
- Email
- Biological networks (neural networks)
- Technology networks (power grid)
- The Internet (web of links)


## Can you think of a network that doesn't have any

 giant component?
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## Giant component: implications



## 2. Small-world property

Also known as distance

- Proposition
- The average shortest path length between any two nodes in a connected component is "small"
- Intuition
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## Six degrees of separation



- John Guare's play (1990) \& later movie
- http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HLIyuYwbVnA


## Six degrees of separation

- Hungarian author Frigyes Karinthy (1929 short story "Chain-Links")
"A fascinating game grew out of this discussion. One of us suggested performing the following experiment to prove that the population of the Earth is closer together now than they have ever been before. We should select any person from the 1.5 billion inhabitants of the Earth - anyone, anywhere at all. He bet us that, using no more than five individuals, one of whom is a personal acquaintance, he could contact the selected individual using nothing except the network of personal acquaintances."
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## Milgram's experiment (1963)



## Milgram's experiment (cont...)



Paper: https://pdodds.w3.uvm.edu/files/papers/others/1969/travers1969.pdf
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## Critiques

- Only 64 out of 296 cases were successful
- How useful? What is the implication?
- Milgram: "six worlds apart"



# Contagion of TB (Valdis Krebs, Oklahoma, 2002) 
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## Another example

- Microsoft instant messenger (2008)
- 240M node network
- Edge: Two-way conversation at some point during a month-long observation period
- Average distance: 6.6




## Computational question

- How to find the "right 6 people?"
- Breadth-first search (BFS) algorithm to find the shortest path
- Fun application- Bacon number
- Bacon number of an actor = distance from Kevin Bacon
- Average Bacon number: 2.9
- https://oracleofbacon.org/
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## BFS algorithm

- Resulting graph: BFS tree
- AKA "root"

Other existing edges within a layer are not drawn here. Draw only the edges explored.

Your friends

Friends of friends

Friends of friends of
friends
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Exercise: Draw BFS tree from MIT
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## When does BFS give shortest paths?

- When all the edges have the same "weight"/dist.
- Negative example:



## Some special types of graphs

- Tree
- Connected, acyclic graph
- Example: BFS tree
- Bipartite graph
- Two sets of nodes with no edge within the same set of nodes
- Example: Network between movies and actors
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## 3. Degree distribution

- What's the probability of finding a node with degree k?
- What fraction of nodes have degree $k$ ?
- Call it $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$



## Review: example

What fraction of nodes have degree $k$ ? Call it $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$.


- $\mathrm{P}_{0}=1 / 10, \mathrm{P}_{1}=4 / 10, \mathrm{P}_{2}=1 / 10, \mathrm{P}_{3}=2 / 10, \mathrm{P}_{4}=$ $1 / 10, P_{5}=0 / 10, P_{6}=1 / 10$
- Sum must be 1 for it to be a distribution
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## Real-world degree distributions

- Power law distribution (or Pareto distrib.) vs. normal distribution
- Mathematical formulation
- Scale-free networks

Extremely important
Please take note



Fig. 1. The distribution function of connectivities for various large networks. (A) Actor collaboration graph with $N=212,250$ vertices and average connectivity $\langle k\rangle=28.78$. (B) WWW, $N=$ 325,729, $\langle k\rangle=5.46$ (6). (C) Power grid data, $N=4941,\langle k\rangle=2.67$. The dashed lines have slopes (A) $\gamma_{\text {actor }}=2.3$, (B) $\gamma_{w w w}=2.1$ and (C) $\gamma_{\text {power }}=4$.

Source: Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks by Barabasi and Albert (1999)
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| Network | $N$ | $L$ | 〈k〉 | $\left\langle k_{i n}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle k_{\text {out }}{ }^{2}\right\rangle$ | $\left\langle k^{2}\right\rangle$ | $\gamma_{i n}$ | Vout | $\boldsymbol{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internet | 192,244 | 609,066 | 6.34 | - | - | 240.1 | - | - | 3.42* |
| WWW | 325,729 | 1,497,134 | 4.60 | 1546.0 | 482.4 | - | 2.00 | 2.31 | - |
| Power Grid | 4,941 | 6,594 | 2.67 | - | - | 10.3 | - | - | Exp. |
| Mobile-Phone Calls | 36,595 | 91,826 | 2.51 | 12.0 | 11.7 | - | 4.69* | 5.01* | - |
| Email | 57,194 | 103,731 | 1.81 | 94.7 | 1163.9 | - | 3.43* | 2.03* | - |
| Science Collaboration | 23,133 | 93,437 | 8.08 | - | - | 178.2 | - | - | 3.35* |
| Actor Network | 702,388 | 29,397,908 | 83.71 | - | - | 47,353.7 | - | - | 2.12* |
| Citation Network | 449,673 | 4,689,479 | 10.43 | 971.5 | 198.8 | - | 3.03* | 4.00* | - |
| E. Coli Metabolism | 1,039 | 5,802 | 5.58 | 535.7 | 396.7 | - | 2.43* | 2.90* | - |
| Protein Interactions | 2,018 | 2,930 | 2.90 | - | - | 32.3 | - | - | 2.89*- |

Source: Network Science Book by Barabasi (2016)

## Debate on degree distribution

- Scant evidence of power law
- https://www.quantamagazine.org/scant-evidence-of-power-laws-found-in-real-world-networks-20180215/
- Barabasi's response
- https://tildesites.bowdoin.edu/~mirfan/files/barabasi -loveisallyouneed.pdf
- Petter Holme's take
- https://petterhol.me/2018/01/12/me-and-powerlaws/
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## 4. Clustering coefficient (CC)

"High" clustering coefficient is observed in realworld networks


Political blogs, Adamic et al. (2005)


## Example: Low CC




1. Local CC of each node
2. CC of a network

## Example

- What is the clustering coefficient of this network?
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## Empirical study of network properties

- Uzzi et al., 2007
- https://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/faculty/u zzi/ftp/Uzzi_EuropeanManReview_2007.pdf
- $N$ = \# of nodes
$k=$ Avg degree
L = Avg shortest path length
CC = Clustering coefficient

| Table 1 Small world studies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Authors | Network | Period | $N$ | k | $\begin{gathered} L \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} L \\ \text { Random } \end{gathered}$ | CC <br> Actual | CC Random | ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | $C \mathrm{Cr}$ | Q |
| Organizations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kogut and | German firms | 1993-1997 | 291 | 2.02 | 5.64 | 3.01 | 0.84 | 0.022 | 1.87 | 38.18 | 20.38 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Baum et al. } \\ & \text { (2003) } \end{aligned}$ | Canadian I-banks | 1952-1957 | 53 | 1.36 | 3.21 | 4.556 | 0.023 | 0.027 | 0.70 | 0.85 | 1.21 |
|  |  | 1969-1974 | 41 | 2.22 | 2.82 | 3.176 | 0.283 | 0.054 | 0.89 | 5.24 | 5.90 |
|  |  | 1985-1990 | 142 | 3.83 | 2.95 | 3.144 | 0.273 | 0.027 | 0.94 | 10.11 | 10.78 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Davis et al. } \\ & (2003) \end{aligned}$ | US Co. interlocks | 1982 | 195 | 6.8 | 3.15 | 2.7 | 0.24 | 0.039 | 1.17 | 6.15 | 5.27 |
|  |  | 1999 | 195 | 7.2 | 2.98 | 2.64 | 0.2 | 0.039 | 1.13 | 5.13 | 4.54 |
| Verspagen and Strategic alliances* |  | 1980-1996 | 5504 | 5.29 | 4.2 | 5.25 | 0.34 | 0.0008 | 0.80 | 425.00 | 531.25 |
| Duyster (2004) | US alliances in 11 | 1992-2000 | $\begin{array}{r} 171 \\ (157) \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schilling and |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3.11 \\ (1.42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.39 \\ (18.69) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.62 \\ (3.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.26 \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.04 \\ (0.039) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.85 \\ (2.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.44 \\ & (7.53) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.71 \\ (2.65) \end{gathered}$ |
| Phelps, (forthcoming) | 2-digit SIC codes** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2003) ${ }^{\text {Davis et al. }}$ | US Director interlocks | 1982 | 2366 | 19.1 | 4.03 | 2.61 | 0.91 | 0.009 | 1.54 | 101.11 | 65.48 |
|  |  | 1990 | 2078 | 17.4 | 3.98 | 2.65 | 0.89 | 0.009 | 1.50 | 98.89 | 65.84 |
|  |  | 1999 | 1916 | 16.3 | 3.86 | 2.69 | 0.88 | 0.009 | 1.43 | 97.78 | 68.14 |
| Fleming et al. (forthcoming) | US patenting inventors*** | 1986-1990 | 7069 | 4.73 | 2.73 | 1.14 | 0.736 | 0.0452 | 2.394737 | 16.28 | 6.80 |
| Kogut and Walker (2001) | German Co. ownership | 1993-1997 | 429 | 3.56 | 6.09 | 5.16 | 0.83 | 0.008 | 1.18 | 103.75 | 87.91 |
| Newman (2004) | Biology co-authorship | 1995-1999 | 1,520,251 | 18.1 | 4.6 |  | 0.066 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Physics co-authorship | 1995-1999 | 52,909 | 9.7 | 5.9 |  | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mathematics co-authorship | 1940-2006 | 253,339 | 3.9 | 7.6 |  | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Moody, 2004 | Sociologists co-authorship | 1963-1999 | 128,151 |  | 9.81 | 7.57 | 0.194 | 0.207 | 1.30 | 0.94 | 0.72 |
|  |  | 1989-1999 | 87,731 |  | 11.53 | 8.24 | 0.266 | 0.302 | 1.40 | 0.88 | 0.63 |
| Goyal et al. | Economists co-authorship | 1980-1989 | 48,608 | 1.244 |  |  | 0.182 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1990-1999 | 81,217 | 1.672 |  |  | 0.157 |  |  |  |  |
| Watts (1999) | Hollywood Film actors | 1898-1997 | 226,000 | 61 | 3.65 | 2.99 | 0.79 | 0.00027 | 1.22 | 2925.93 | 2396.85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smith (2006) | U.S. Rappers |  | 5533 |  | 3.9 |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |
|  | U.S. Jazz musicians |  | 1275 |  | 2.79 |  | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Brazilian pop |  | 5834 |  | 2.3 |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |  |
| Technology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watts (1999) Vazquez et al. (2002) | Power grids Internet |  | 4941 | 2.94 | 18.7 | 12.4 | 0.08 | 0.005 | 1.51 | 16.00 | 10.61 |
|  |  | 1997 | 3112 | 3.5 | 3.8 |  | 0.18 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1998 | 3834 | 3.6 | 3.8 |  | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1999 | 5287 | 3.8 | 3.7 |  | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |
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## Links

- Download
- https://gephi.org/
- Video Tutorial: https://bit.ly/gephi-panopto
- Text tutorial: http://bit.ly/gephi_tutorial
- Dataset: http://bit.ly/gephi_dataset

Windows: Gephi requires Java. Most modern Windows PCs already have it.

How to find Java version in Windows?
https://www.java.com/en/download/help/version manual.xml
Where to get Java for Windows?
https://www.java.com/en/download/
Mac OS X: Java is bundled with the application so it doesn't have to be installed separately.
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To save the visualization as a pdf file:
File $\rightarrow$ Save

| Red: Graph level Black: Node/edge level | Gephi Vocabulary |
| :---: | :---: |
| Term | Meaning |
| betweenness centrality of a node | how often the node appears on the shortest path between nodes in the network |
| closeness centrality of a node | average distance from that node to all other nodes in the network |
| degree of a node | the number of edges connected to the node (also connectedness); in a directed graph a node can have indegree and out-degree measures |
| diameter of a graph | the longest shortest path between any two nodes in the graph |
| directed graph | this means relationships occur one way only (I follow you, but you do not follow me on Twitter); opposite of undirected (we are friends with each other on Facebook) |
| eccentricity of a node | the distance (shortest-path length) from the node to the farthest node from it in the network |
| edge | a representation of the connection between two nodes, expresses a relationship (a line) |
| eigenvector centrality of a node | in social network analysis, a measure of influence (a node is very influential if it is connected to other influential nodes) |
| layout algorithms | also known as graph drawing algorithm; e.g., force-directed drawing where linked nodes attract and nonlinked nodes repel |
| leaf node | node with a single edge in a "tree-structured" graph |
| modularity | a measure of connectedness among groups of nodes (greater than 0.4 is usually considered meaningful) |
| node | also called a vertex by mathematicians; a person in a social network graph (a dot or bubble) |
| distance from one node to another | the length of the shortest path (counted in the number of edges) from one node to another |
| path length | the number of edges in a path |
| singleton node or isolated node | node with no edge/connection |
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## Gephi demo: centrality

- Data: .gml
http://bit.ly/gephi dataset (Les Miserables data)
$\underline{\text { http://bit.ly/gephi dolphin }}$ (Dolphin network data)

Dolphin network data:
Social network (by association) among 62 dolphins in Doubtful Sound, New Zealand
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## Gephi demo: centrality

- Statistics tab
- Average degree
- Network diameter
- Eigenvector centrality
- Appearance tab
- Rank and color nodes according to centrality measures



## Caution: centrality

- Six Degrees, pg. 51

An important example of how a purely structural approach to networks has led many analysts into a reassuring but ultimately misleading view of the world is the case of centrality. One of the great mysteries of large distributed systems-from communities and organizations to brains and ecosystems-is how globally coherent activity can emerge in the absence of centralized authority or control. In systems like dicta-
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Centrality measures
Lamberteschi

4. Prestige/eigenvector centrality

Idea
Math Example

## 1. Degree centrality

Idea: Higher centrality nodes have higher degree

54

## 1. Degree centrality

Who is the most central here?
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## 1. Degree centrality

Downside:
How about node 4 in this network?
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## 2. Closeness centrality

Idea: A node is very central if it's very close to the other nodes
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## Example

Compute the closeness centralities of nodes 1 and 4
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## 3. Betweenness centrality

Idea: a node $i$ is very central if a lot of shortest paths go through $i$
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## Example

Compute the between centralities of nodes 1,2 , and 3

- $\beta_{1}=0$
- $\beta_{2}=0$
- $\beta_{3}=$ ?
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## Example

Highest betweenness centrality: Medici


## Matrix algebra

- Images from this tutorial: http://www.intmath.com/matrices-determinants/3-matrices.php
- 4x1 matrix (AKA vector)

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
4 \\
2.6 \\
-8.1 \\
7
\end{array}\right]
$$

- $3 \times 3$ matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 2 & 3 \\
8 & 4 & 5 \\
4 & -2 & 6
\end{array}\right)
$$
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## Graph example

Adjacency matrix
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## Matrix multiplication

- $2 \times 3$ matrix multiplied by $3 \times 2$ matrix
- Result is a $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
d & e & f
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
u & v \\
w & x \\
y & z
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a u+b w+c y & a v+b x+c z \\
d u+e w+f y & d v+e x+f z
\end{array}\right]
$$
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## 4. Eigenvector/prestige/power centrality

- Idea (Phillip Bonacich, 1987): A node’s importance is determined by its friends' importance
- Mathematical formulation
- Example
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## Perron-Frobenius Theorem

For the largest eigenvalue, the corresponding eigenvector is nonnegative (for any nonnegative matrix)

- Reassuring!
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| Eigenvector calculator | H.WolframAlpha |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eseneecoracalulater | $\square$ |
|  |  | \#if smpes $\times$ |
|  | Compuatomanume |  |
|  | 2enmen |  |
|  | comer |  |
|  | now |  |
|  | esgmears |  |
|  | neats | Esateme |
|  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{v}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Eatame |
|  | 128-14819 |  |
|  |  |  |

## More on eigenvector centrality

- Tutorial on eigenvector
- Jackson’s Section 2.4 (Appendix)
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## Comparison of centrality measures
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## Comparison

- What are the differences among:
- Degree centrality
- Closeness centrality
- Betweenness centrality
- Eigenvector centrality
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| Red: Graph level <br> Black: Node/edge level | Review: Gephi Vocabulary |
| :---: | :---: |
| Term | Meaning |
| betweenness centrality of a node | how often the node appears on the shortest path between nodes in the network |
| closeness centrality of a node | average distance from that node to all other nodes in the network |
| degree of a node | the number of edges connected to the node (also connectedness); in a directed graph a node can have indegree and out-degree measures |
| diameter of a graph | the longest shortest path between any two nodes in the graph |
| directed graph | this means relationships occur one way only (I follow you, but you do not follow me on Twitter); opposite of undirected (we are friends with each other on Facebook) |
| eccentricity of a node | the distance (shortest-path length) from the node to the farthest node from it in the network |
| edge | a representation of the connection between two nodes, expresses a relationship (a line) |
| eigenvector centrality of a node | in social network analysis, a measure of influence (a node is very influential if it is connected to other influential nodes) |
| layout algorithms | also known as graph drawing algorithm; e.g., force-directed drawing where linked nodes attract and nonlinked nodes repel |
| leaf node | node with a single edge in a "tree-structured" graph |
| modularity | a measure of connectedness among groups of nodes (greater than 0.4 is usually considered meaningful) |
| node | also called a vertex by mathematicians; a person in a social network graph (a dot or bubble) |
| distance from one node to another | the length of the shortest path (counted in the number of edges) from one node to another |
| path length | the number of edges in a path |
| singleton node or isolated node | node with no edge/connection |

